

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/824,481	SEKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dameon E Levi	2841	

All Participants:

(1) Dameon E Levi.

(2) David McClaughry (Reg No 37886).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 October 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103(a)

Claims discussed:

14-26

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6294861, US 4677338

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's Representative agreed to make substantive changes to the claims in order to patentably distinguish the invention from the prior art. The changes include adding the limitation of "at least two constricted portions" to the claims(14,15,18,24, and 26)

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)